

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/624,554	07/23/2003		James P. Enniss	2597-28	7511
23117	7590	05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON &	VANDE	RHYE, PC		CHEN, V	/IVIAN
1100 N GLE		D		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8TH FLOOR ARLINGTO	-	22201_4714			TALER NOMBER
AKLINGTO	N, VA	22201-4/14		1773	

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,554	ENNISS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vivian Chen	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ntion.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	August 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
··· _	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed an inverse solution and inverse solutions.		a houtha Conneilean	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •		4 (-1)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	,		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		en received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/23/2003. 		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040	0502

Application/Control Number: 10/624,554

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over:
 - (a) claims1-47 of U.S. Patent No. 6,440,551 (ENNISS ET AL); or
 - (b) claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,699,578 (ENNISS ET AL);

in view of OLIVER ET AL (US 4,797,317).

The ENNISS ET AL patents each claim the recited color corrected film, the method of making said film, and other claimed features except for the metallized layer.

OLIVER ET AL discloses that it is well known in the art to apply thin metal layers having a typical thickness of 140 angstroms or less to colored transparent PET films, wherein the metal is stainless steel or nickel alloys, and wherein said metal is applied by means of

Art Unit: 1773

conventional vacuum deposition in order to control the degree of light transmission in glazing applications such as glass windows.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to metallize the films claimed in the ENNISS ET AL patents in order to modify and adjust the optical properties (e.g., transmission, reflectivity, etc.) of the films for specific glazing applications.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached on (571) 272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

The General Information telephone number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 2, 2004

Vivian Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773